

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,732	03/08/2002	Hiroshi Kajiyama	3620-4014	3620-4014 5009	
27123 7	590 07/10/2006	07/10/2006 EXAM		INER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.			BEFUMO, JE	BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH	
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1771		
			DATE MAILED: 07/10/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/018,732	KAJIYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jenna-Leigh Befumo	1771			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DEPTH OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 5-11,76 and 77 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 5-11,76 and 77 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/018,732 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The Amendment submitted on March 30, 2006, has been entered. Claims 1 – 4 and 12 – 75 have been cancelled. Claim 6 has been amended. Therefore, the pending claims are 5 – 11, 76, and 77.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 5 8, 76, and 77 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287753 A in view of Kolstad et al. (6,114,495) for the reasons of record.
- 4. Claims 9 and 10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287753 A and Kolstad et al. as applied to claim 5 above, and in further view of Matsui et al. (6,174,602) for the reasons of record.
- 5. Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 10287735A and Kolstad et al. as applied to claim 5 above, and in further view of Matsui et al. and Wellington Sears Handbook of Industrial Textiles (pages 57 60) for the reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed March 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the rejection based on JP '753 in view of Kolstad et al. relies on impermissible hindsight since the JP '753 discloses an infinite number of polylactic acid compositions can be used to produce the disclosed filaments (response, pages 5-6). While it is acknowledge that JP '753 discloses that the polylactic acid can be made with L- and/or D-

Art Unit: 1771

lactic acid isomers and combinations thereof, JP '753 explicitly discloses using a L-lactic acid homopolymer as the lactic acid component in the polymer resin. While JP '753 includes a broad teaching that any polylactic acid polymer can be used, the reference specifically teaches using L-lactic acid homopolymer. Therefore, the rejection is not based on hindsight, or applicant's teachings, but on the preferred teachings disclosed in JP '753. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

7. Further, the applicant argues that the rejection of claims 9 and 10 is based on improper hindsight because the improved properties are based on a combination of selected parameters (response, pages 7 – 8). However, as set forth in the rejection, how parameters such as velocity and draw ratio effect the properties of the filament are known. Further, to make the filaments taught by JP '753 one of ordinary skill in the art would need to choose these processing parameters. Therefore, it would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the extrusion conditions to produce fibers with good tensile strength and crystallization properties. Thus, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1771

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jenna-Leigh Befume

June 29, 2006